

Outstanding References - Streets and Walkways Sub Committee

<b>Date</b>	<b>Action</b>	<b>Officer responsible</b>	<b>To be completed/ progressed to next stage</b>	<b>Notes/Progress to date</b>
<p><b>25 July 2016</b>  <b>27 September 2016</b>  <b>8 November 2016</b>  <b>6 December 2016</b>  <b>14 February 2017</b>  <b>16 May 2017</b>  <b>20 June 2017</b>  <b>5 September 2017</b></p>	<p><b>Parking for Motorcyclists</b>  As part of the review of fees and charges for car parks, consideration be given to the implications on motorcycle parking. A further report to be submitted to the Sub Committee regarding the framework for charging, provision of more parking bays and theft of motorcycles.  Consideration would be given to the timings for the project at a future meeting.</p>	<p>Director of the Built Environment      Director of the Built Environment</p>	<p>2017</p>	<p>The matter is now included in the 2017/18 work programme and within the restructured City Transportation teams work plan.</p> <p>In response to Members asking that this piece of work be brought forward from 2017/18, officers reported that further advisement of timings would be considered at the January Streets and Walkways Sub-Committee meeting, but it will be a priority on the 2017/18 business plan for consideration at the February Planning and Transport Committee.</p> <p>Complete programme to be reported post elections</p> <p>Members expressed concern regarding the period of time this issue was taking to address and asked that a clear and robust policy, including environmental issues, be brought to the Sub-Committee as soon as possible.</p> <p>It was agreed that officers bring proposals for the programme to the Sub-Committee to enable priorities to be set, and to determine exactly what resources would be required to deliver it.</p>
<p><b>Ongoing Action</b>  <b>25 July 2016</b>  <b>27 September 2016</b></p>	<p><b>Swan Pier</b>  Swan Pier area is to be tidied up in conjunction with the delivery of the</p>	<p>Director of the Built Environment</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>	<p>The matter had now been referred to the City Surveyor. Officers to update.</p>



Outstanding References - Streets and Walkways Sub Committee

<p><b>20 June 2017</b></p>	<p><b>Two Way Cycling in Seething Lane/ Muscovy Street.</b></p> <p>A member asked why officers had not leafleted local residents and occupiers, outlining the proposal, as they had done so previously a number of years ago when the proposal was first suggested, and also why, given that circumstances in the area have drastically changed since the idea was first conceived, what review had been undertaken?</p>	<p>Director of the Built Environment</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>	<p>Officers advised that a vigorous design process had been undertaken and they would provide a written response to the Member</p> <p>A decision was taken to conduct informal consultation again in this area; as several years had elapsed. The proposals for the street had been through design and safety audits; especially in their interface with the design for the garden area in Seething Lane.</p> <p>A consultation letter was sent out by post on 11 July 2016 to all premises fronting onto Seething Lane and Muscovy Street. Our data base showed 12 Trinity Square as the address. Whereas I understand that your flat is part of 15 Trinity Square.</p> <p>You received the consultation letter and plan in an e-mail, as a ward member (on the 11 July) and also through distribution to the Trinity Square Area Stakeholder Group (on 12 July).</p> <p>No comment was received from that consultation.</p> <p>The formal traffic order consultation took place in March 2017. Notices were placed on street and some these were placed adjacent to the doorways that provide entrance to your block of flats.</p> <p>Again, no comment was received to the formal consultation.</p>
<p><b>5 September 2017</b></p>				<p>The Member advised the Sub-Committee that officers had admitted that appropriate</p>

## Outstanding References - Streets and Walkways Sub Committee

				<p>consultation with residents did not take place and that the occupiers of the flats in 15 Trinity Square had been missed off in error.</p> <p>The Sub-Committee agreed that the residents should be written to again.</p>
<p><b>22 Bishopgate</b> <b>24 July 2017</b></p>	<p>The Sub-Committee considered an outline options appraisal report of the Director of Built Environment concerning works to improve the public realm areas and security in and around the 22 Bishopgate development (formerly known as 'The Pinnacle').</p>	<p>Director of the Built Environment</p>	<p>Ongoing</p>	<p>Reference was made to servicing and consolidation measures and officers agreed to report back on this.</p>
<p><b><u>Bollards/Bakers Hall Court</u></b></p>	<p>It was agreed that this matter should be added to the list of Outstanding References.</p>			